Spin-I-versin of Julian argument Cerredor of stile of July African 2= fr (2, + d3) (1) who of the from (Sr) - Tooks { 152=17, [52=07, 152=17]. for 3, = 6, 4, + 6, 4, 2for 3, is artisqual to 43 and no con hereto (2) in Josep ヤ= た(らり+なれナ場) (25 Now measure 52 and first sport 52 -1 ton par (2) we trow to rule of Pd, (213 1) But according to Sutrain or nertue 4 lesting at Jam (1) Jul we also how the role of this to weenfotelle prysets his, (nis), Claser of alley is that the weaker claser that we know as that the roles) (pute that he, 2 843 + dr) and in pailedus The Do Pat Par Pa +18 +18 - Put B+18 7 14

•

Logic, Quanta fythom and to TWO-Slit Exporment Michael Redhead Wolfson Collège Cambridge The two-set superiment has always proseided a major focus of detrates

on the enterpretation of quantum modernice

(OM). In high the experiment ansists

in allowing a ream of electrons of

soft-defenied momentum to impringe on a

serion which in contrates to smalled seven which incorporates two parallel slits, and detecting the slectures smerging how the slits on a seemed secon equipped for manufle with a photographic emulsion which well opposed to the impact of an deliss", The wonsty of the beam can be reduced so that passing through the secon with derive comprosing the two servers the call with the two plets at the debeth sever.
But nevertheless QH presides the and in the defeater pattern will build if me the defeater seven, quite water the me summation of the patterns one sued obtain if ne hoter of the ster forther-The electrons behave the locality as purches respect of theor desection it the second sown ht in what of the interposer jatem permine is characteristed of classifel was helpviour so in the expensest it

word the behaviour in stein passage strongs the seits in the first serven, fot partide-like behanion in respect But how can as electus behave tothe like a reson and like a partial?

That is the expense of the paralot possed by the Two-seit experiment. Referring to Fig. 1. 5,0015 2 are the leve sonall volume element endoring a prese of emulsion that earn record if the election his she hats the debetal versen at it pour located in D; Elochica fig.1. Sowon, with seeks Denote by A, the proposition asserted at the time t that the slotter is believed that the slockers.

hood pared though the set A, sint the earlier time to at what the beam empires on the first scoon and by An the proposition at to dat the election had pared things An at to the time.

Furtherine don't be and the proposition of the continue of the secret things And to the secret things And the secret things and the secret things are the secret things and the secret things are the secret things as the secret things are the secret things as the secret things are the secret that the secret things are the secret than the secret things are the secret than the secret things are the secret than the secret the secret things are the secret than the secret the secret things are the secret than the secret things are the secret than the secret the secret that the secret than the secret than the secret the secret than the secret the secret than the se Section Ris ligger the detator at time t in cell D;

3

then we are executed in sufficient Stol (Ri) the constituent probability. Prof (R; A, VA).
Let us fint see how treating to section as
particles a particle which has passed through
one of other seit, leaves to the wrong
but of pattern of the better sever.
Let us evaluate frob (R; A, VA) according
to desired ideas on the meaning of
constituted probability Prob(R; A, VA) = Sich (R; M(A, VAL))

Prob(R, VAL) Crobb RS A (A - Prob ((R; NA) / (R; NA2))

Where we have such the dudwilled Cow
of classical Copie to write But since A A A is control a Cognesis Sich (BS)A, VA) = Prob (A; AA) Prob ((REMAI) V (REMAY) But (R; MAI) + And (B; nAL) - Orch (RSAA, AAZ) Prob (RIAA) + Prob (RiAA) Prob (Ri)A). Putty + Prob (A;)A). Side(A) since A, MAZIN a logical contradiction Proh (B; M. Proh (A) + Brok (B; 1 Ar). Proh (A)

So finally Prob (RS | A, YAZ) Prob (P; A) x Prob (A, VA) - Prob (As) A.) x Prob (A) + Prob (Ri)Ary + Sub (Ar) hole (A) + Prelichi) = + Prob (R; A) + + t Pred (R; 1A2) - +3) of we assume a uniform boum, so Prob (A) = Prob (A) nove of the features of AM interference. In order to reproduce such indufered it would be necessary to assume that defended on Shote (Ris 1st.) for example whether I was ofened of dered but this uned admit a myslerious between ofming and algoing So and what election was doing as it wast through Reichentoch en his (1944) April to de Cousol aremolies that has inspired model discovered of the interpretation of the the lafor outsides Colorlogen to the judlen is sintly

dain that when the election is passey through the slate in the first serion, and displaying word - behavior to presented to introduce from passives less the potents a typical particle the potents a typical particle the potent do dectron you things the start do dectron you the primite according to the Cofendagens to because it involves conditioning as a meaningless proposition. He main argument in Rechandoch's (1944) is to marringful in the amount of the primite of the formation of the most of the har-start experiment for an order to word consol animalies we most regard A V A a separate for an order to word the passive animalies we most regard A V A and a second animalies we most regard A V A and a second a start true por follow, but accorded a third truth value viz. displaying nove - behavious A is maninglass indeterminate. This affiroach on flogers
a three-ralved logie to interpret the
two seit sufariment was taken up
enthurianticals to by Promon in his
(1957). Fest in his (1965a) he was quite desmissive: "In Reichenbach's approach -- it is semply assumed stat statements don't many deservables have the conventional two truth values while statements object miero-strendales may hore a third truth value; but this radical chilostomy between many - and miero - deservables co. not derived from anything but scriply full the into the those de los is affroch formulizes

the thee-valued los is affroch formulizes

but does not explain the floremenon

of inforpere. In his (1965 W) theorem

entitled 'A Philosopher Lection of Quanties Mechanics

Infram makes no reference to quantion logic and concludes "no satisfactory inhechelilat of quantum mechanics ears to today "1969 969 Putnam took of . the idea of a rivident but men-distribution logic as a reasona for comoning the paradoses pasonidas unto the methodation to Brokhoff ord von Neumann who found in 1936 that a ma contain jone, a non-destributive logie and the read of the pastlements of the Hillest space formulation of quartur mechanics. Is see alt is going on we prount a lived require of reach concentrating in his (1969) and his (1974). In clarical physics the state of d regiter co identified unto a location on stemestary propositions & of the form & (V) & (P)
specifyin that the state of the schim lies in
the subject & of the phase-species R. The Cogical convertinos, conjunction des junctions as regalistes
ortens as the elementary perfections por
translate unto the familian Boolean finations
intersection union and set-theoretic complement
under the correspondence ensocienting proportions? with subsets ?. The Is a My the short of of of a potent is identified with a ray of one-dimensional subspock of a Hillart space. H. We can now introduce demontary proportions
u which of the form e(U) spepers that

the state of the system has somewhere an the Jobspool V, of H and the logical
connectives in V and in one new defende
by their translation was the lattice of forators
of prations of meet, soin and atthe complement
us the lattice of subspoces of 19. He
resulting logic is easily soin to 22.

non-distribution. But now comes the decisive por-dustributers. Int now come the decision step we estroduced now elementary purpositions of the form (A) & which assert that the stop observable of porresses a value celect less on the subset D. of possible colors for a. We now introduce the idea of a real slate of Julianan state as it is described in Redberd (1987) affect to to be started on Redberd (1987) affect the BM state. The profession (A) of described as the BM state who to the profession (A) of a described wind the described wind the profession (A) of a descr secretified rind the profession e'(U)
specifying that the Pubnican shall him on the
siles face U of to which is now defined by confered to U den with probabilit me the renact of measuring of world be in . It is easily clocked that U= Ran PalD)
where Pa(D) is the projection species assumed west the Boral get D vid the proposionon the (D) & proposition are now to 20 vadoustierd as twoodstry into the Caltie protetion on the sobstoces U wed to constitue The whole seline is reall gute conflicted

to summary form States uman Droposition Putpostins don't agent values an states of observable. acting

Smeller

X A, enjoyer that the question westarried state is especially with

the ray of whole estatence is
expected in A;

That is spring discussion for the words to a finite-dimension of observables with a continuous spechium, then

the lest stool to the stool of such and the states, which is formally identical with the states, which is formally identical with the startly distributed, a one-many map existing between the Sind the Si'll as the states in Fig. 2.

In son to complete to logical school we require the specification of a fruit valuation maffeing instantions and in I a 1 anto the two-stemant Boolean signed of truth valuations. of mean at ogue the fallewing admissibility outerion Bou A: Val: 343 - Br is an admissible valuelien If the we an-dimensional subspoon ruch dat for every selection M, Walm)=1 gostor ubil i dentes the sobspace relation. more Julike. I Fol & proper realest. admissibilit cutación Bre Az: Val: EU3 + Bz is an admissible valuation ift the following conditions are satisfied 1. Val (4) 2 1 iff Val (24) = 0 2 - Val (N = 1, and N & H, ile Val (M) = 1 3. In any orthonormal vois {12i73 & A" Eq:3 are the eigenvalues of some morning and level of val (9:)=1 for some; and level from 10 all of Val (2:)=0, tits. a commence startland for the

& It will also Jollow that nonmaximal subscribed are assigned renique execute values as a resort of Az . See Pepheod (1987) p. 165 O Effectually Promise is clausing, Santa S

ý

×

15

profestion o'(Q;) & upo Q; is to me-demonent The third condition is the enced all young the lasy mormal objectable has realest retortions # months supplementing and The trouble is that there sently are no Ar-odmissible solvations for Willest spoos of dissension 73.

Food with the satisfien Ribson. proceeds as follows. Governor a masseurl observable & in a Helbert stood of dissure 713. Jon Sumam Ventifier to states If has a value with the desjoration 2, vp. V. - Va. This is not only type but toutofically so. However, Jup Pulman, this nos of not near the is some spufiall if While I; is true. New in classical logice des jordien carrier an existerla Commitment. We can weet Jig; = 2, vg. - V20. Summer is effectively retaining the to defect win What to meous my (30 %. As, futnam If her a value but the observable of with egginedors assecuted sprolas fun { [2i] S. So the dix not commute (Que Rail in compatite desoldes). -Hen (2) 15 = 11 v 12 --- V 1 n is also true to Todal. careder the travological 8+ wo stotues (5,: (3) (2 n (3)) /s Szi (3) (2in /s sofunes realisms Purman seconder to

1.

and is tautolopies two. In claneal logic 5, at 52 are logically quished lot

not so in specialism Cofic. So indext

not so in specialism of this is

regarded by Presence in as possing

Conflorations that although B or it is

reduced by possess special energy

to assert that they possess special energy

to before the laws that at allows

us to deny that 5, at 52 are squided

profestions and book to remaile

redign and Complexity.

In his (1969) Putham the store of the

top ideas to checulating the law-slit the ideas to describely 24 last - shit
enforment He printed out that the donatability
of the empirically incorrect sammation result (3)
defended on employing the distribution law (3).
It is not disablered are word to prevented
from getting the winter lamited sheetine, quite
wintificial from showing how to jet the right
result. But it is man pointed out almost
connectiately by grandwork in his (1971) that
at the fartibular case in quenter the
distribution law is in a cortain trural scase,
extually true. To bee what is going on
but his identify the proposed on the action
R; with the relational projection of a who
his is light of the proposed of the action
to got the state which world arrive at time t
y the self by 8 alone were for . Similarly Az is those ideas, to elucidating the two-select of the set of 8 alone were for. Similarly Az is appointed with the largester figs where [xz] is the time-endortum as time to the glate which would arise

1

at tens t' is the stat Some were often.

Finally B. R; is associated with

B; = S dP(*), when P(*) generates

nel; to projection-valued measured associated with the pointing operator X.

Gardines then pointed out that

13 1 1/257 = 0 (4) Pin Prid = 0 Pin (Pitor Pito) = 0 who I devote the null-projector.

So the RHS and LHS of Eg. (2) come out to be travially equal south sold being the pull-projector so sold of the compression will represent a locical contradiction, soil of the equation represents a logical contradiction.

Contradiction:

Gentradiction:

Gentradiction:

Gentradiction: Embrodiefron: gardner.

The Especially lebot Surplied with sold prealing out was that states pecked out by f; and never in the livear span of 147 and 1242.

(A mathematically regions from from the was friend of the mathematically regions in their (98).

The faced much the salustion produced and Putnam and a sent of Mount analysis. and Putnam gard of grad defeart araysis

of the time-old acquirement and its Canadian

unity grantium logic in them (1978).

Part this 1978 Refer grant vinly

Le understand without formy account

of potnam the rivory wife y ham's necessary

or grantius mechanics charged during the

1970s, in particular his reported of the

Mey dat pronung semultanesses rates for mempatible observates would be emposible since it would conspert to frowery a Cosicol antradighon. Indeed 1981 Pytham published a craced paper outlos ouartage newsus as the Proposer in which he expanded his argunest to for Delevery that and rot one of court of war not cutrodictay to free the the such such simultonenes values. We shall arrape, smoot but for the most let us los the Endances to conserver Means to motivate to 1978 affrest. The fact that incompatible proposition and logically contradictory consports, as we River seen to the fact that the most projectors corresponding to the asserted. This arises because me are working the the series of the projector. Catho theretie (LT) versus of guantum logic in wheth the topical comortiges are when me blind for all selements in the interpolet in towns of projection Cathice, But, algorly in their de fersing guartier Cegy in conveteres are justingted to compatible Ging that is in a pairs of presentation Into agelia all ren- bollow Molden I quartin love is exactly sected to

By transition published we mean how, not the published of a transition from the quarties state to another but the change of reusian in a probability engineer to a probability engineer from all proposition of the guartage of the to avoid. Approximation of the second of 06 * X X

•

Hat Sutpam has in mind the grantum local controllations which to hos studiely, identified with the empossibility Semal Jarony drowing the while in compatible consult re.

mempatible day ables consult re.

nger for formulated in the FBH wascan

the logic. But there now arish of romal in formololy a condition probability in towns of a post probability in in (a) good the conjunction of the manpatible proposition R; of B, VBZ by Congoli allowed. To a soil to 20 innered of conditional probability las Transition probability was begin with some terminological conventions. We shall use antiqueraply of the projection the Sounder announts wet the July Ja presiden pordes P. (3) 4 Helbert spool which is the range of (4) Ple proposition: IP =1 Mortis to value of 5 grocustal nearms with on dowoll the proportion: E45 E Note that sever (4) and (6) are of curren vide the arguntan of FUNC ruls. = f([4]

.

...

Everter Hospital postulate) pas mode a transfer to

The sensor (1) through (6) of the Symbol P Should always be close from the Entest. Finally we use the Emportion that Plans for an arbitrary AM I state 14) That was man its normalized state With the converters in ment as now per hove to understand the considered probability Probably as a provision probability. We understood this quality as the probability Det the proba-Fig. The great that the entire Att. on- Simourecet of measurement to and some in the starte this anolys · two rosel is two-

In fact what has been devel kere is Just the so-called Lieders rule for entending, the projection postulate ten nonmaximal measurements - } For detailed reaction to the Fredman- Pulmann proposal and its monts war is at Sperhagenut prestrant of the two-sut superiort so Hellnein (1981), Buh (1982) and Stairs (1982). In this poper we consoliate in protest aspect of the Suscession with the relation winder organist in Surman (1981) proposition in conditionalization is of. none. This is particularly soviers if we undoustand corelational prolonalities as Conditions with a probabilistic consequent

50 Prob (AB) = p is analysed as

B II - (Prob (A) = P). Since any trio.

Julse propositions are materials equivabent, we can't expect solestitution to presence the truth - value of the constitutional conditional. But it the motorial equivalence is show all from some background proportion from sunto, substition is pormissible. The quation of wheter substitution is also allowed when the material equivalence of the anterlasts in the countrifactual is only provolle from quantum-logicals from a background proportion which cannot be conjoined with either of the anterbets may be regarded as proflematic

So (5) launt 20 applied dwesty.
But Fredman and Judram area Lara 19=D P(1,+12)(4) (5) 1,+12 (6) Justien logical materials agentitude in Justient logical since P, 500 10 Propos (Ris 18, HPZ) Sulion (Rs) (P, +B) (4) Caudes

argremer values of excessionalite Consider a for remos froumosy allustrated in Fig & Detecting smals on Confining Vox Sound. Figure 3.

Switch

I later we shall inducate what happens

When describe for the state of the

particle and she photographic concilion, as

Turnam equies.

time to t which the particle reaches the defector After do shutter has been opened and closed, the aM state for the partide can de enpressad es (Fin 7 + 1 4 out >) (3) where (It in) is a state confined to the enterior of the Tox and Hant 7 is a stale confined to the expend of the box, and use around for the semplanty that the shutter is heft ofen for sort such a length of tens that the probability of the particle escaping from the vot is 1/2. Putnous hemself mists that I'm (8) is refugated in the Hillast spice of the offreprete to the particle and the Resp semple themes semple and let the described south of particle as stated parting in what followers is on the plustast may albert that their geosphistication. & Enalest the perfect way albert followers. Toppes we dend to while of space into descrete colls Di and define projection officialis associated und these colls as lefter Pin it Di les emple the for and Pin to Bi lies outside the box. Hon dearly = (E lint) 14) (9) (I 11:m)/4> (10) in (D) is over the cells outside the Where the summation and the summitted in (10) is now the cells

of de particle ord the Apropriate projections on all the atoms on the smulsion, we leave at as an onereine to the interested reader to check that everything gaes through in this mere conflicated so his in each parallel with the simple matternation we have described.

consocit convention that states are only specified modulo normalization.
We also note that 31: + 31: = I (12) > EPin + & Port (13) Iwel all the Pin and Pit are compatible (12) is just the damiel report that of the particle is in somewhere olonial sgot that if the parties with it some where (10. outs mede the Got) at some officerate line measure in sleep than anchos Jew us pearens a small agien of the apulsion and value 1 (10. a mark of to from the proposition la (10 at time t the partide was located in Da). But lutra the partide is un vertere prov (Jup Sutrem) torde der not commute which of comp Es (200 g Suhram) means
which
which
which
which
which
which for out thes / Days bonn the proportion I could don'the commute much Eordusian of the argument is that disming the

moch on the emulsion in Da, we thereby brow the values of two incompatible disorbibles. ion (12) jobntifying i with R go have

on I in works

the particle is somewhere specially on side

to the But fort commuter with I limit But the just seems confused. proportions in vierte of farming that the proportions is sustra of farring that the outside the box. Fort? But the implication a samption DO CAN must. Com ascus arguart logical andarlinger (14) gural zotion (14) Doys Then given that we from the

July

all state is 14) then we can infer the moderal equivolera of Compatible propositions associated with proportion are compostate material question part de familier classical - 56 on vailment Everse only raded grantium-lossing

| Description of the in

the same | Boolan Inhalghed as

P(2 Pint) 12) and 2 Pint. Pa sones allow us to from (E River)/#> as Vubran claims. There is confirmed no heat is o'nham noul (1981) that he sees to not for granting logic on general at when for manpatize observable I sobnut day without this m argunat of do 1981 paps So to cevelade, the motivation of year. de more tor of SBA vorion of qualun love en & prestran - Jutrain Count be udeforted establisted en Jutimen seems to Colice the vasies of Jestforing Jud . reciply cercular love indeed of received ly our descussion.

						119
F	introles					
1			, 7	/	· II·	
Sea	loch	of pay	0 15	ord	17	
1						
. kg	-					
2.						
						-
	7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7					
					y	

References Von Neumann. BIRKHOFF G. and Von NEWMANN, J. (1936): The Logic of Quantum Mechanics, Annals of Trackematics 37, 823-43. BUB J. (1982): 'Grantien logic Conditional Brobability, and In Justiens, Milosophy of Sucial 49, 402-21. FRIEDTIAN M. and BUTNAM H. (1978): Quantum Logie, Conditional Brobability, and Importing, Dialectical 32, 305-15. CARDXNER, M. (1975): In Quantum Logic Really Logic?!, Philosophy of Swine 38, 508-24. GIBBINS P. and PEARSON, D. (1981): the Distributive Low in the Two-SEIT Experient, Foundations of Physics 11, 7-97-803. HELLMAN G. (1981): 'Guartum logic and the Projection Bostulate', Sdilosoffy of Sconie 48, 469-86. KOCHEN, S. and SPECKER E. (1967): 'The broken of Hiller Variolles is Overtien Redepies, Journal of Mottematics and

Machanics 17, 59-87.

PUTNAM H. (1957): Three - Valued logic, Philosophical Studies 8, 73-80. PUTOVAM H. (1965a): Milosophy of Physics, in F. H. Donnell Jr. 19d.) Asperts of Contemporary American Philosophy Winzburg: Physica-Verlas, Pridolf Ljeding K.G., pp. 27-40. PUTNOM, H. (1965 2): APRilosopher Links at Overtime Mochanics, in R.G. Coloday Pol.) Regard to Edge of Certainty: Essays in Contemporary Science and Studiedly, Englowed Cliffs, Now Jorsey: Nontries - Healt, ph. 75-101.

PVINAM H-(1969) (1968): 95 Logie Empirical?

Boston Studies in the Philosophy & Science 3, 216-41. PUTOVAM H. (1974): How to thish
Quartin - Logically, Synthese 29, 55-61 ord the Observer, Eikenntnis 16, 193-219. Nonlocalty and Realisms A Brolymenon to the Parlsofty
of theortism Reshances, on fold: Gronden Fies. REICHENBACH, H. (1944). Philosophie Forendations of Quantum Mechanics

STAIRS A. (1981): 'Quantum Gjic and the Linders Rule Philosophy of Science 49, 422-36:
*